Offences against Property

Theft

Location: s1 Theft Act 1968

Definition: dishonestly appropriating property belonging to another with the intention of permanently depriving the other of it.

Appropriate (assume the rights of the Property (an item, money etc)

MR*

Dishonestly (Ghosh: mag/jury to decide if they believe D acted dishonestly) Act does not define honesty but does give three examples that are not dishonest

- a) Believed had legal right to it
- b) Believed owner would consent
- c) Took reasonable steps to find owner

Intention to permanently deprive (IPD) Must show Didid not intend to return it or has used the full value of a ticket etc.

Robbery

Location: s8 Theft Act 1968

Definition: To steal and immediately before or at the time using force or putting a person in fear of being subjected to force

AR"

If theft (left) is not completed = no robbery Use force

Even a small force will count.

Before or at time

Must be just before, or at the time. If theft + violence separate = no robbery, would just be a theft and a separate OAP

MR: Intention for theft and intention to use force.

Burglary

Inration: s9 Theft Act 1968

Definition: Enter a building or part, as a trespasser, with intent to commit theft GBH or unlawful damage, or developing the MR once inside.

S10: aggravated burglary

AR"

Enter building

Must be a substantial entry Into a building or part of a building As a trespasser.

Must have no permission

MR:

59(1)(a)

Before entering you intended theft. GBH or unlawful damage

59(1)(b)

Once inside you developed the MR to steal or cause GRH.



B&S v Leathlev



R v Walkington

Taxi ride, refused to pay, ran away, Guilty, (Making

Making off without Payment

Location: s3 Theft Act

Definition: Knowing that payment on the spot is required for goods or services, dishonestly makes off without paving and intent to avoid payment.

AR"

Makes off Actually must leave Without Payment Can't have paid at all

MR:

Knows payment was

required Can be implied i.e. shop Dishonest - As Theft Intends to avoid payment

nuns out/lies etc.

R v Dawson Nudge = force

Outdoor freezer = building



Went behind counter = trespasser in part. Intent to steal = burglary.



R v Brooks and Brooks

TakingVehicle Without Consent (TWOC)

TWOC does not have intention to permanently deprive as they are joyriding and intend to dump the vehicle. Not theft_It is TWOC.



R v Morris

Switching price tickets = appropriation



Oxford v Moss

Information not property



R v Llovd

Copying item then returning - no IPD



1 burglar upstairs, 1 tying up = burglary

Theft contrary to s1 Theft Act 1968 (look for stealing)

Dishonest

- Not dishonest if a) thought had legal right to property, believed would have had consent, took reasonable steps to find owner. (ONLY MENTION IF PRESENT)
- 2. If none apply, Ghosh Test applied: would jury believe D realised D acting dishonesty according to an ordinary person?

Appropriate

Did they act if they were the owner? How? (taking, eating, breaking etc) **Morris** switched price labels.

Property

How was it property? (Information not property – **Oxford v Moss**) (electricity, wild animals, land, corpses not property)

Intention to Permanently Deprive

How do you know they weren't going to return? MUST show they were not going to OR show that they used the value of item e.g. ticket.

If they have all aspects can be found guilty and fined or imprisoned.

Writing Guide for APPLICATION Questions

Robbery contrary to s8 Theft Act 1968 (stealing + force)

Completed Theft

There must be a <u>completed theft</u> i.e. the flowchart to the left must be satisfied.

Use force or fear of force to steal

How have they used force or caused a fear of force? In **Dawson** a nudge was enough.

Timing

- When was the force used? To be robbery it must be used either JUST BEFORE or DURING the theft.
- If the Theft and Violence are separate then it is just a THEFT and a separate Non-Fatal Offence.
- Was there a continuing act i.e. theft continuing during force then its robbery – Hale – one robber upstairs stealing whilst other tied V up.

If they have all aspects can be found guilty and fined or imprisoned.

If taking vehicle without consent (TWOC) – no intent to perm. deprive as joyriding. Separate offence of TWOC

Burglary contrary to s9 Theft Act

1968 (look for buildings)

Making off Without Payment contrary to s3 Theft Act 1978

Enter

D must have substantially entered i.e. __been in enough to steal

Building

Did they go into a building (B&S said outdoor freezer was building)

Part of Building

Did they go into an area not permitted

Walkington went behind

(Walkington went behind counter)

As a Trespasser

Why were they a trespasser? Did they have permission?

Have they entered with intent to commit theft, GBH, damage? How do you KNOW they were going in to do this?

If yes, guilty of s9(1)(a) Burglary Have they entered and THEN once inside decided to steal or commit GBH? How do you know? Must show at least an ATTEMPT to

steal/GBH.

If yes, guilty of s9(1)(b) Burglary

AGGRAVATED BURGLARY – S10 THEFT
ACT – IF TAKE A WEAPON

D has to make off (leave)

- Without Paying
- Know that payment was required
- Intend to avoid payment
- Be dishonest
- Brook and Brooks; D refused to pay for taxi ride, driver took them to police station, ran away; guilty.